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## Purpose of Study

- The spectrum of a sibilant fricative is "noisy" and difficult to estimate accurately (Shadle, 2006)
- To improve the estimation of sibilant fricatives' spectra, recent work has argued for the adoption of "reduced-variance estimators" (e.9., Blacklock, 2004).
- However, spectral estimation is not the endpoint of a linguisic analysis, as the spectra estimate is almost always reduced to a small number of measures that describe its shape properties, such as spectral moments (e.g., Jongman, Wayland \& Wong, 2000).
- Previous work has found no significant effect of spectral estimator on estimates of peak and centroid frequency for adult productions of English /s/and /S/ (Reidy \& Beckman, 2012).
- Current study: Investigates the effect of spectral estimator on estimates of the first four spectral moments from adults' and children's productions of English sibilants.


## Background

- Two commonly used spectral estimators are the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and the multitaper spectrum (MTS)
- The MTS is equal to the pointwise average of $K$ DFTs computed from data that have been windowed by discrete prolate spheroidal sequences (Thomson, 1982).
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Variance properties of MTS \& DFT

- Each ordinate of the MTS has $1 / K^{\text {th }}$ the variance of the corresponding ordinate of
the DFT (Perviva and Walden, 1993) - Hence, at each frequency, the magnitude of the spectrum is estimated more accurately with the MTS than with the DFT.


## Experiment

Participants
Age group No participants (mas) No /s/tokens (males) No. $/ \mathrm{j} /$ tokes (mas) Adults Adults 5-year-olds 4 -year-olds
3 -year-olds 2-year-ol

## Elicitation



- Recorded at 44.1 kHz . - Frication onset and offset marked by hand - Phonemically transcribed excluded ifincorrect.

- DFT \& MTS estimated from central 40 -ms. - Centroid, variance, Skewness \& kurtosis
computed within the computed within the
$.32-15 \mathrm{kHz}$ band.


## Results and Analysis

Paired $t$-tests revealed an effect of estimator on the even, but not the odd moments.

| Moment | $t(2060)$ | $\mu$ (MTS - DFT) | p-value | t: when tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centroid | -1.772 | -7.241 | 0.076 |  |
| Variance | 5.599 | $6.301 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.443 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |
| Skewness | $-1.597$ | $-0.006$ | 0.110 |  |

Magnitude of estimator effect is dwarfed by place-of-articulation effect for all moments.

- Place effect:
- $|\mu(/ s /)-\mu(/ / 5)|$,
$\mu(/ \mathrm{s} /)-\mu(\mathrm{JJ})$
for MTS \& DFT.
- Estimator effect:
- Estimator effect:
$\mu(\mid$ MTS - DFT $)$.
$\mu(\mid$ MTS - DFT $)$ ),
averaged across all
tokens.

| Moment | MTS place effect | DFT place effect | Estimator effec |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centroid | $3.304 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.311 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.226 \times 10^{2}$ |
| Variance | $2.027 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.967 \times 10^{6}$ | $3.127 \times 10^{5}$ |
| Skewness | 1.175 | 1.178 | 0.130 |
| Kurtosis | 1.221 | 1.167 | 0.499 |

- Choice of spectral estimator does not seem to affect the ability to distinguish $/ \mathrm{s} /$ from $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in terms of any of the spectral moments
-MTS and DFT give comparable estimates of the place effect for all moments.
- For most moments, the estimator effect is an order of magnitude smaller than either place
effect.


## Results and Analysis

Comparison of estimator and place effects on centroid and skewness, by age group - Across studies, centroid and skewness are the moments that most consistently differentiate $/ \mathrm{s}$ / from /S/ (see Koenig, Shade, Preston \& Mooshammer, 2013.

- Two-year-olds produce $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{s} /$ relatively close together in terms of centroid and skewness; however, even for this group, the estimator effect is a fraction of the place effect.


Gender effects, when present, also dwarf the effect of spectral estimator.
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